

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/550,282	04/14/2000	Sung-Il Park	1607-0211P	9574
2292	7590 05/18/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			QI, ZHI QIANG	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	7 JRCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2871	
		DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/550,282	PARK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mike Qi	2871
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters,	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,9-15,17 and 19-26</u> is/are penda 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,9-15,17 and 19-26</u> is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified cop	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 08) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1 and 15 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, recitation "...for covering at least a portion of at least one of said gate line and said data line and on the area to shield the light passing the gate line, the data line and the area;..." in which "a portion" means for covering "a portion of the gate line" or "a portion of the data line" or "a portion of the source area" or "a portion of the drain area"; and "the area" should be clarified.

Claim 15, recitation ". . . forming a gate line and a gate electrode of a thin film transistor to be connected with the gate line on a transparent substrate; forming an insulating layer electrically insulating said gate line and the gate electrode; . . ." in which the gate electrode is connected with the gate line, so that an insulating layer electrically insulating the gate line and the gate electrode is not correct. The gate line is used for applying scanning signal to the gate electrode, so that the gate electrode is not insulated from the gate line. The limitation of "forming an insulating layer . . ." should be after the limitation of "forming a data line. . .". Such that the limitation should be ". . . forming an insulating layer electrically insulating said gate line and the gate electrode from the data line; . . .".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/550,282 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 11, 14-15, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,259,200 (Morita et al).

Claims 1, 15 and 22, Morita discloses (col.5, lines 59 – col.6, line 65; Figs.5-6) that a liquid crystal display device comprising:

(concerning claims 1 and 15)

- gate line (43) formed on a transparent substrate (1), and gate electrode

 (G) of the TFT (3) to be connected with the gate line (43) on the

 transparent substrate (1);
- signal line (10) (data lines) crossing the gate line (43) and formed on the transparent substrate (1); and the data line (10), source electrode and drain electrode over the transparent substrate (1), the source electrode and the drain electrode being respectively disposed in source area (7) and drain area (8), and the source electrode being connected with the data line (10) through contact hole (S);
- gate insulating layer (4a,4b) electrically insulating the data line (43) from the gate line (10) and gate electrode (G);

Art Unit: 2871

thin film transistor (TFT) (3) formed at an intersection of the gate line (43) and the data line (10), and connected to the gate line (43) and the data line (10), and the TFT being disposed in an area having a channel area (between the source area and the drain area), a source area (7) and a drain area (8); and the TFT (3) having gate electrode, source electrode and drain electrode;

Page 4

- planarization film (12) made of organic resin that functions as passivation layer (col.4, lines 31-32) formed over the TFT (3);
- pixel electrode (14) having portions formed on the surface of the planarization film (12) (functions as a passivation layer), but not over the TFT (3);

(concerning claim 22)

- forming a gate line (43) and gate electrode (G) connected thereto on a transparent substrate (1),
- forming gate insulating film (4a,4b) over the gate line (43) and the gate electrode (G);
- forming a semiconductor layer (2) over the gate electrode (G);
- forming a signal line (10) (date line) crossing the gate line (43); and a source electrode connected to the data line (10) through contact hole (S) and on a first portion (source area) (left portion) of the semiconductor layer (2), and a drain electrode on a second portion (drain area) (right portion) of the semiconductor layer (2);

Art Unit: 2871

forming planarization film (12) (functions as a passivation layer) having a contact hole exposing the drain electrode over the transparent substrate (1);

forming pixel electrode (14) with portions disposed on the planarization film (12) (functions as a passivation layer), but not over the TFT, and connected to the drain electrode via the contact hole.

Morita does not expressly discloses in the Figs.4-6 that a low reflective layer formed on at least a portion of the gate line <u>or</u> a portion of the data line <u>or</u> on the first portion (source area) and the second portion (drain area); and no black layer or light shielding between the pixel electrode and the upper substrate and above the low reflective layer.

However, Morita discloses (col.4, lines 51-67; Fig.2) that the signal line (10) is manufactured of a metal film having relatively high reflectance, and another metal film (10x) having relatively low reflectance is formed on top of the first metal film; and there is no black matrix between the upper substrate (60) and the pixel electrode (14) in the Fig.2, i.e., an <u>area</u> between the pixel electrode (14) and the upper substrate (60), and above the low reflective layer (10x), is free of any black layer. Morita discloses (col.4, lines 61-62) that the top substrate (60) is provided with no black mask aligned with the signal lines (10), i.e., no black layer above the low reflective layer (10x). Because the low reflective layer (10x) is formed on the signal line (10), so that the <u>area</u> does not have black layer also is above the low reflective layer (10x).

Art Unit: 2871

Morita indicates (col.4, lines 62 – 67) that the top layer of the signal lines (10) of Al will cause its reflectance to be large enough to degrade the quality of image, such that a top layer of material having a relatively low reflectance is further applied on the Al film to preclude unwanted light reflection. Because the low reflective layer has the function of light shielding, so that the light would be shielded to pass the signal line. For the same reason, using the low reflective layer to cover the gate line, the source area, drain area and channel region that would shield the light passing the gate line and the channel area, source area and drain area so as to preclude unwanted light reflection.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange a low reflective layer on the data line or on the gate line, or on the channel area, source area, drain area, and no black layer in the <u>area</u> between the pixel electrode and the upper substrate and above the low reflective layer as claimed in claims 1, 15 and 22 for precluding the unwanted light reflection.

Claim 11, Morita discloses (col.5, lines 59 – col.6, line 65; Figs.5-6) that the planarization film (functions as passivation layer) formed over the gate line (gate electrode G connected to the gate line 43), the data line (the source electrode connected to the signal line 10), the low reflective layer (such as the low reflective layer 10x on the signal line 10); and the pixel electrode (14) formed on the planarization film (12) (functions as passivation layer); and the pixel electrode (14) is connected to the TFT via a contact hole in the planarization film (12) (functions as passivation layer).

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 14 and 25, Morita discloses (col.7, lines 14-25; Fig.6) that a color filter (63) is formed on the color filter substrate (60); and liquid crystal (50) sealed between the color filter substrate (60) and transparent substrate (1).

4. Claims 3-4, 17, 19 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morita as applied to claims 1, 11, 14-15, 22 and 25 above, and further in view of US 6,172,728 (Hiraishi) and US 6,172,723 (Inoue et al).

Claims 26, 3-4, 17 and 19, Morita discloses (col.5, lines 59 – col.6, line 65; Figs.5-6) that the thin film transistor (TFT) includes:

- thin film transistor having gate electrode (G), source electrode (S)and
 drain electrode (D);
- gate electrode (G) connected to the gate line (43); and the gate electrode (G) being covered with the channel region (between the source area 7 and the drain area 8);
- source electrode is connected to the data line (signal line 10), drain electrode is connected to the pixel electrode (14); and drain electrode connected to the drain line that would an obvious variation as the source electrode connected to the data line for transferring data signal.

Lacking limitation is such that the low reflective layer is formed on (or cover) the gate electrode or on the source and drain electrodes.

However, Hiraishi discloses (col.6, lines 34-37; Fig.1) that by providing a low-reflective film preferably made of chromium oxide (CrOx) on the gate lines (2) (the gate electrode is connected to the gate line) and the source lines (3) (data line) (the source

Art Unit: 2871

electrode is connected to the data line), the display quality is enhanced. Even though, the gate line and data line are not gate electrode or source/drain electrode, but forming a low reflective layer on the gate electrode and on the source/drain electrode would be the same principle and would have same function. Therefore, forming a low reflective layer on the gate electrode and on the source/drain electrode to enhancing the display quality would have been at least an obvious variation.

As evidence, Inoue discloses (col.1, lines 45-51) that lights are mixed with light reflected from the reflection electrode and this mixed light lowers the image display quality. Inoue indicates (col.11, lines 34-50) that in order to solve such problem, a low reflection conductive film is patterned on the high reflection conductive film. The gate electrode, source electrode and drain electrode are electrical conductive film. Therefore, those skilled in the art would be benefited from those prior art to form a low reflective layer patterned on an electrode such as the gate electrode and source/drain electrode to enhance the image display quality.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange a low reflective layer on the electrodes as claimed in claims 26, 3-4, 17 and 19 for enhancing the image display quality.

5. Claims 12-13 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morita as applied to claims 1, 11, 14-15, 22 and 25 above, and further in view of US 6,172,728 (Hiraishi).

Claims 12-13 and 23-24, lacking limitation is such that the pixel electrode is formed over (or overlap) a portion of the data line or a portion of gate line.

Art Unit: 2871

However, Hiraishi discloses (col.5, lines 56-57; Fig.1) that the pixel electrode (4) is formed over (or overlap) a portion of the data line (3) and a portion of the gate line (2), and the unnecessary leakage of light to the gap between the pixel electrodes and the gate lines or the date lines are prevented.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the pixel electrode overlap a portion of the gate line or the data line as claimed in claims 12-13, 23-24 for preventing the unnecessary light leakage to the gap between the pixel electrodes and the gate lines or the date lines.

6. Claims 5-6, 9-10 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morita as applied to claims 1, 11, 14-15, 22 and 25 above, and further in view of US 6,172,728 (Hiraishi) and Applicant admitted prior art (AAPA).

Claims 5-6, 9-10 and 20-21, lacking limitation is such that the low reflective layer is formed of CrOx (claims 6,10 and 21), and the low reflective layer has a light reflectivity of 3% or less (claims 5, 9 and 20).

However, Hiraishi discloses (col.6, lines 34-37; Fig.1) that by providing a low-reflective film preferably made of chromium oxide (CrOx) on the gate lines (2) and the source lines (3) (data line), the display quality is enhanced.

Concerning the low reflective layer has a light reflectivity of 3% or less that is the property of the material (CrOx), and the <u>same material</u> must have the <u>same property</u>, and that would have been at least obvious.

As evidence, AAPA indicates (page 4, lines 2-3 of the specification) that the material of CrOx is <u>widely used</u> for black matrix to reduce the influences of the light

Art Unit: 2871

reflection because the reflectivity of CrOx is about 3%. Therefore, the property of the CrOx (reflectivity is about 3%) must be widely known in the art. Widely used means "by or among a large well-dispersed group of people" (see Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary) utilized, and that should be common and known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the material of CrOx as the low reflective layer (reflectivity about 3%) as claimed in claims 5-6, 9-10 and 20-21 for enhancing the display quality.

Note: Claim 9 is the same as the claim 5, and Claim 10 is the same as the claim 6. Therefore, claims 9 and 10 are redundant.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on Mar.14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are as follows:

1) The references cannot be combined to reach the invention.

Examiner's responses to applicant's arguments are as follows:

1) The reference Morita is relied on a metal film having relatively high reflectance, and another metal film (10x) having relatively low reflectance is formed on top of the first metal film; and there is no black matrix between the upper substrate (60) and the pixel electrode (14) in the Fig.2, i.e., an <u>area</u> between the pixel electrode (14) and the upper substrate (60), and above the low reflective layer (10x), is free of any black layer. Morita discloses an active-matrix display that is not limited to use back light.

Morita indicates (col.4, lines 62 - 67) that the top layer of the signal lines (10) of Al will cause its reflectance to be large enough to degrade the quality of image, such that a top layer of material having a relatively low reflectance is further applied on the Al film to preclude unwanted light reflection.

The reference Hiraishi is relied on providing a low-reflective film preferably made of chromium oxide (CrOx) on the gate lines (2) (the gate electrode is connected to the gate line) and the source lines (3) (data line) (the source electrode is connected to the data line), the display quality is enhanced. Even though, the gate line and data line are not gate electrode or source/drain electrode, but forming a low reflective layer on the gate electrode and on the source/drain electrode would be the same principle and would have same function.

The reference Inoue is relied on the evidence of a low reflection conductive film is patterned on the high reflection conductive film, and the gate electrode, source electrode and drain electrode are electrical conductive film, in order to solve the problem in the art and improve the image display quality.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Qi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2299. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00 am-5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mike Qi
Patent Examiner
May 5, 2005